



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS. Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/774,814 | 01/30/2001 | Olivier Ballevre | 112701-136 | 2493 |
| | 7590 10/01/2002 | $\frac{d p_{ij}}{d x_i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d p_{ij}}{d x_i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ | e je termen kun et e je i | |
| BELL, BOYI | O & LLOYD LLC | The matter of the model of the second of the | EXAMI | NER |
| P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, IL | 35 . 60690-1135 | | LIU, SAM | IUEL W |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ٠. | | <i>3</i> 4 | 1653 | |
| | , | | DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 09/774,814 | BALLEVRE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Samuel W Liu | 1653 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sh t with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | s6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status (Afternation (Afternation) | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | - • | | | | | |
| , | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or of the subject to restrict to res | election requirement. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | ved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | • | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | visional application has been rec | eived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | c priority under 35 0.3.0. 99 120 | anu/ULIZI. | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | · | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/774,814

Art Unit: 1653

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 35-44, drawn to a method of treating bacterial infection and intestinal infection in a patient, are classified in class 530, subclass 390.1, class 514, subclass 8, class 435, subclass 106, and class 604, subclass 19.

II. Claims 45-49, drawn to drawn to a method of reducing oxidative stress due to acute intestinal inflammation, are classified in class 530, subclass 390.1 and 395, class 514, subclass 8, class 435, subclass 7.32, class 435, subclass 106, and class 604, subclass 19.

Claims 1-26 and 28-34 link inventions I, II and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims 1-26 and 28-34. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/ are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as different and/or distinct methods, a method of altering and increasing the synthesis of mucin, reducing acute intestinal inflammation mediated oxidative

Application/Control Number: 09/774,814

Art Unit: 1653

stress due to enhanced mucin level *in vivo* and treating intestinal inflammation in a patient, a method of maintaining mucin glycoprotein synthesis in a patient, and a method of treating intestinal bacterial infection in a patient. These methods differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, outcome of carrying out the each process; therefore, each method is patentably distinct since the practice of any one invention would not have resulted in the practice of the other indicated inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification, art recognized divergent subject matter, separate search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-3483. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday 9:00 -5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communication and (703) 305-3014 for the after final communication. Any inquiry of a general

Application/Control Number: 09/774,814

Page 4

Art Unit: 1653

nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center

1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SWL

September 25, 2002

MADEN GOODANG ALTO BERLANG

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER